



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,005	02/19/2002	Jeffrey R. Oar	10006644-1	1271

7590 05/05/2005

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

PATEL, ANAND B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2116

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,005	OAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anand Patel	2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Te

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed 4/18/05 has been entered and as such claims 1-22 have been canceled and claims 23-31 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No 6785724 to Drainville et al (Drainville), in view of US Patent No 6317831 to King.

- As per claim 23, Drainville discloses a method by which a portable computer in a sleep mode (22) responds to a communication from the client, the method comprising the following:

- Waking the portable computer from the sleep mode in response to the communication (column 1, lines 62-64);
- Recognizing the communication by the portable computer (inherent given the computer responding to the communication);
- Responding to the communication by the portable computer, including the following:
 - Generating a response (column 2, lines 25-31), and

- Transmitting the response to the client, the transmitting being performed via another communication transmission (column 2, lines 25-31); and,
- Returning the portable computer to the sleep mode (column 6, lines 37-40; the phone tap method described in column 6, lines 3-13 is a method of waking the server, thus the system is inherently put to sleep after the client request has been answered).

Drainville fails to disclose a personal digital assistant. King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a personal digital assistant (column 15, lines 52-54).

An advantage of the system taught by King is a quickly achieved secure connection (column 4, lines 61-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Drainville by King. Motivation to combine is the ability to quickly create a secure connection.

- As per claim 24, King teaches a method wherein the transmission of the response by the portable computer is made directly to the personal digital assistant via a wireless network (figure 9).
- As per claim 25, Drainville teaches a method wherein the communication is an access by the client into a database within the portable computer (column 1, lines 62-64; column 2, lines 25-31). Drainville fails to teach a personal digital assistant. King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a personal digital assistant (column 15, lines 52-54).
- As per claim 26, Drainville discloses a method by which a portable computer in a sleep mode (22) responds to a communication from the client, the method comprising the following:

- Waking the portable computer from the sleep mode in response to the communication (column 1, lines 62-64);
- Recognizing the communication by the portable computer (inherent given the computer responding to the communication);
- Performing an action in response to the communication by the client (column 2, lines 25-31);
- Returning the portable computer to the sleep mode (column 6, lines 37-40; the phone tap method described in column 6, lines 3-13 is a method of waking the server, thus the system is inherently put to sleep after the client request has been answered).

Drainville fails to disclose a personal digital assistant. King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a personal digital assistant (column 15, lines 52-54).

- As per claim 27, King teaches a method wherein the wireless communication is performed via a wireless network (904).
- As per claim 28, Drainville teaches a method wherein the communication is an access by the client into a database within the portable computer (column 1, lines 62-64; column 2, lines 25-31). Drainville fails to teach a personal digital assistant. King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a personal digital assistant (column 15, lines 52-54).
- As per claim 29, Drainville discloses storage media, the storage media storing software which when executing on a portable computer (22) performs a method by which the portable computer responds to a communication from a client, the method comprising the following:

- Waking the portable computer from the sleep mode in response to the communication (column 1, lines 62-64);
- Recognizing the communication by the portable computer (inherent given the computer responding to the communication);
- Performing an action in response to the communication by the client (column 2, lines 25-31);
- Returning the portable computer to the sleep mode (column 6, lines 37-40; the phone tap method described in column 6, lines 3-13 is a method of waking the server, thus the system is inherently put to sleep after the client request has been answered).

Drainville fails to disclose a personal digital assistant. King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a personal digital assistant (column 15, lines 52-54).

- As per claim 30, King teaches a storage media wherein the wireless communication is performed via a wireless network (904).
- As per claim 31, Drainville teaches a storage media wherein the communication is an access by the client into a database within the portable computer (column 1, lines 62-64; column 2, lines 25-31). Drainville fails to teach a personal digital assistant. King teaches communication that is a wireless communication from a personal digital assistant (column 15, lines 52-54).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7211. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ABP


LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100